# Some results in the theory of formations of finite groups

Adolfo Ballester-Bolinches<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universitat de València, València, Spain

To Leonid Shemetkov, in memoriam. San Juan de Pasto, August, 2014



#### Introduction

- A formation is a class of groups 
  which is closed under taking homomorphic images and subdirect products. In particular, every finite group G has a smallest normal subgroup with quotient in 
  called the 
  residual of G and denoted by G
  .
- ②  $\mathfrak{F}$  is subgroup-closed if it is closed under taking subgroups, that is,  $U^{\mathfrak{F}} \leq G^{\mathfrak{F}}$  for all subgroups U of G.
- **3** A Fitting class is a class of groups  $\mathfrak{F}$  which is closed under taking subnormal subgroups and such that the subgroup  $G_{\mathfrak{F}}$ , generated by the subnormal  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subgroups of a group G, is itself an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -group. This subgroup is called the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -radical of G.
- **3** A formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is saturated (respectively solubly saturated) if a group G belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}$  if the Frattini factor group  $G/\Phi(G)$  (respectively  $G/\Phi(G_{\mathfrak{S}})$ ) belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

#### Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a formation. A maximal subgroup M of a group G is said to be  $\mathfrak{F}$ -normal in G if the primitive group  $G/\operatorname{Core}_G(M)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}$ . It is clear that M is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -normal in G if and only if M contains  $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ .

#### Introduction

#### Definition

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a formation. A subgroup U of a group G is called an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subnormal subgroup of G if either U = G or there is a chain of subgroups

$$U = U_0 < U_1 < \cdots < U_n = G$$

such that  $U_{i-1}$  is a maximal  $\mathfrak{F}$ -normal subgroup of  $U_i$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

It is rather clear that the  $\mathfrak N$ -subnormal subgroups of a group G for the formation  $\mathfrak N$  of all nilpotent groups are subnormal, and they coincide in the soluble universe.



#### Theorem (Wielandt's join theorem)

The subgroup generated by two subnormal subgroups of a group G is itself subnormal in G.

As a result, the set sn(G) of all subnormal subgroups of a group G is a sublattice of the subgroup lattice of G.

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a formation. One might wonder whether the set of  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subnormal subgroups of a group forms a sublattice of the subgroup lattice. The answer is in general negative. The formation of all 2-nilpotent groups and the group G = [V]X, where X is the symmetric group of degree 4 and V is an irreducible and faithful module over the field of 3-elements provide a counterexample.

The classification problem of the lattice formations, that is, formations  $\mathfrak{F}$  for which the set of  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subnormal subgroups is a sublattice of the subgroup lattice was proposed by Shemetkov in 1978 and it appeared in the Kourovka Notebook in 1984 as Problem 9.75.

- A. F. Vasil'ev, S. F. Kamornikov, V. Semenchuk. On lattices of subgroups of finite groups. Infinite groups and related algebraic structures, Institut Matematiki AN Ukrainy, Kiev, (1993), 27–54.

#### **Theorem**

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation. Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a lattice formation if and only if  $\mathfrak{F}=\mathfrak{M}\times\mathfrak{H}$  for some subgroup-closed saturated formations  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- **2** There exists a set of prime numbers  $\pi^*$  and a partition  $\{\pi_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  of  $\pi^*$  such that  $\mathfrak{H} = \times_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathfrak{S}_{\pi_i}$ .
- **1**  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{S}_p \mathfrak{M}$  for all  $p \in \pi(\mathfrak{M})$  and  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an  $\mathfrak{M}^2$ -normal Fitting class.
- **1** Every non-cyclic  $\mathfrak{M}$ -critical group G with  $\Phi(G) = 1$  is a primitive group of type 2 such that  $G/\operatorname{Soc}(G)$  is a cyclic group of prime power order.



#### Theorem (Wielandt's property for nilpotent residuals)

The nilpotent residual of the subgroup generated by two subgroups is the subgroup generated by the nilpotent residuals of the subgroups.

As a consequence F(K), the Fitting subgroup of a subnormal subgroup K of G, normalises the nilpotent residual of every subnormal subgroup of G.

For a group G and the lattice  $S_n(G)$  of all subnormal subgroups of G, a map  $\omega \colon S_n(G) \longrightarrow S_n(G)$  is called a Wielandt operator in G if, for any H,  $K \in S_n(G)$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

W1: 
$$\langle H, K \rangle^{\omega} = \langle H^{\omega}, K^{\omega} \rangle$$
,  
W2: if  $H \triangleleft K$ , then  $H^{\omega} \triangleleft K$ .

Here, of course,  $H^{\omega}$  denotes the image of H under the map  $\omega$ . Note that Condition W2 implies that  $H^{\omega}$  is a normal subgroup of H.

#### Theorem (Wielandt)

Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be two Wielandt operators in a group G. Assume that two subnormal subgroups H and K of G are permutable if  $H=H^{\varphi}=H^{\psi}$ . Then  $A^{\varphi}B^{\psi}=B^{\psi}A^{\varphi}$  for any pair (A,B) of subnormal subgroups of G.

Suppose that a Wielandt operator  $\omega$  is defined in all groups G. If  $\omega$  satisfies  $(X^\omega)^\alpha=(X^\alpha)^\omega$  for any homomorphism  $\alpha$  of a group X, then the class  $\mathfrak{F}:=(X\mid X^\omega=1)$  is a Fitting formation and  $G^\omega$  is the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -residual of G for every group G. Conversely if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Fitting formation, then the map  $\delta\colon S_n(G)\longrightarrow S_n(G)$ ,  $H^\delta=H^\mathfrak{F}$  for all  $H\in S_n(G)$ , defines a Wielandt operator in every group G, permuting with all homomorphisms provided that  $\delta$  satisfies Condition W1.

Consequently, the problem of finding Wielandt operators which are permutable with homomorphisms is reduced to the description of Fitting formations  $\mathfrak{F}$  satisfying the following property:

If U and V are subnormal subgroups of a group G, then  $\langle U, V \rangle^{\mathfrak{F}} = \langle U^{\mathfrak{F}}, V^{\mathfrak{F}} \rangle$ .

#### Definition

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a formation. We say that  $\mathfrak{F}$  satisfies the Wielandt property for residuals if whenever U and V are subnormal subgroups of  $\langle U, V \rangle$  in a group G, then  $\langle U, V \rangle^{\mathfrak{F}} = \langle U^{\mathfrak{F}}, V^{\mathfrak{F}} \rangle$ .

- S. F. Kamornikov, L. A. Shemetkov. On coradicals of subnormal subgroups. Algebra i Logika, 34 (1995), 493–513.
- A. Ballester-Bolinches, John Cossey, L. M. Ezquerro. On formations of finite groups with the Wielandt property for residuals. J. Algebra, **243** (2001), 717–737.

- Every soluble subgroup-closed Fitting formation satisfies the Wielandt property for residuals.
- Some Fitting formations defined by a Fitting family of modules (in the sense of Cossey and Kanes) satisfies the Wielandt property for residuals.
- For solubly saturated Fitting formations, the problem can be reduced to the boundary.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a Fitting formation. If U and V are subgroups of a group G such that U and V are subnormal in  $\langle U, V \rangle$ , it follows that  $U_{\mathfrak{F}}$  normalises  $V^{\mathfrak{F}}$ . In particular, the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -radical of G normalises the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -residual of every subnormal subgroup of G.

#### Definition

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a non-empty formation. We say that  $\mathfrak{F}$  has the generalised Wielandt property for residuals,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a GWP-formation for short, if  $\mathfrak{F}$  enjoys the following property: If G is a group generated by two  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subnormal subgroups A and B, then  $G^{\mathfrak{F}} = \langle A^{\mathfrak{F}}, B^{\mathfrak{F}} \rangle$ .

- S. F. Kamornikov. Permutability of subgroups and \$\mathcal{F}\$-subnormality. Siberian Math. J., **37** (1996), 936–949.
- A. Ballester-Bolinches, M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera, M. D. Pérez-Ramos. On  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subnormal subgroups and  $\mathfrak{F}$ -residuals of finite groups. J. Algebra, **186** (1996), 314–322.
- A. Ballester-Bolinches.  $\mathfrak{F}$ -critical groups,  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subnormal subgroups, and the generalised Wielandt property for residuals. Ric. Mat., 186, (2006), 13–30.

#### Theorem

Every GWP-formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a subgroup-closed Fitting formation for which the set of all  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subnormal subgroups of every group G is a sublattice of the subgroup lattice of G, that is,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a lattice formation.

#### Theorem (B-B, Ric. Mat., 2006)

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a subgroup-closed saturated lattice formation. Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a GWP-formation if and only if there exists a subclass  $\mathfrak{Y}$  of  $b_n(\mathfrak{F})$  such that the following condition is fulfilled by all groups  $G \in \mathfrak{Y}$ : If  $G = \langle A, B \rangle$  with A and B  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subnormal subgroups of G, then  $G^{\mathfrak{F}} = \langle A^{\mathfrak{F}}, B^{\mathfrak{F}} \rangle$ .



A. Ballester-Bolinches, S. F. Kamornikov, V. Pérez-Calabuig. On formations of finite groups with the generalised Wielandt property for residuals. J. Algebra, **412** (2014), 173–178.

#### Theorem

Every GWP-formation is saturated



#### Definition

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a class of groups. A group G is said to be  $\mathfrak{X}$ -critical (or critical for  $\mathfrak{X}$ ) if  $G \notin \mathfrak{X}$ , but all proper subgroups of G belong to  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a GWP-formation, and G an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -critical group. Then  $N/\Phi(G)=G^{\mathfrak{F}}\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)=\operatorname{Soc}(G/\Phi(G))$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G/\Phi(G)$ . If N is a proper subgroup of G, then G/N is a cyclic q-group for some prime  $q\in\pi(\mathfrak{F})$  and  $N/\Phi(G)$  is a q'-group if  $N/\Phi(G)$  is abelian.

It follows that a GWP-formation must be solubly saturated. This is the first step to proof the saturation theorem.

#### Theorem (Kamornikov, B-B, submitted)

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a subgroup-closed extensible formation and  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a class of simple non-abelian  $\mathfrak{M}$ -critical groups. Set  $\mathfrak{L}$  = $\mathfrak{M}$ form( $\mathfrak{X}$ ). Assume that  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a formation such that  $\pi(\mathfrak{L}) \cap \pi(\mathfrak{H}) = \emptyset$ . If  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{L} \times \mathfrak{H}$  and there exists a partition  $\{\pi_i : i \in I\}$  of  $\pi(\mathfrak{H})$  such that  $\mathfrak{H} = \times_{i \in I} \mathfrak{S}_{\pi_i}$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a GWP-formation.

As a consequence, every soluble formation is a GWP-formation if and only if it is a lattice formation.