

CKM mixings from mass matrices with five texture zeros

Yithsbey Giraldo* and Eduardo Rojas†

Departamento de Física, Universidad de Nariño, A.A. 1175, San Juan de Pasto, Colombia

(Dated: September 21, 2021)

In this work we carry out an exhaustive study to find quark mass matrices in the Standard Model (SM), with the maximum number of texture zeros consistent with the experimental data. We found four viable configurations of five texture zeros that adjust the quark masses, the mixing angles and the CP violation phase, with deviations below 1σ level respect to the current SM best fit values. One of the most important aspects of this work is an economic procedure to find the texture zeros: we resort to the weak basis transformation method, which, as we will show, exhaustively search every possible configuration. We report various leading order relations between the mixing angles and the quark masses for each case.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the Standard Model (SM), the quark mass matrices come from the interaction between the Higgs boson and the SM fermions. After the spontaneous breaking of the SM gauge symmetry we obtain

$$-\mathcal{L}_M = \bar{u}_R M_u u_L + \bar{d}_R M_d d_L + h.c., \quad (1.1)$$

where M_u and M_d are arbitrary, 3×3 quark mass matrices containing thirty-six (36) real parameters, which cannot be fully determined from the ten (10) physical observables that they must account for: six (6) quark masses, three (3) flavor mixing angles, and one (1) charge-parity (CP) violating phase. However, in models like the SM (or its extensions) where the right fields are singlets under the gauge group, it is always possible to choose a suitable basis for the right quarks, such that by using the *polar decomposition theorem* of the matrix algebra, the mass matrices of type “up” and “down” became hermitian [14, 17, 20, 25, 28, 40].

$$M_u^\dagger = M_u, \quad \text{and} \quad M_d^\dagger = M_d. \quad (1.2)$$

Additionally, for Hermitian quark mass matrices, you can make a unitary transformation acting simultaneously on the up-type and down-type quark mass matrices, leaving the gauge currents invariant, and the mass matrices transform to new equivalent Hermitian matrices

$$M_u \rightarrow M'_u = U^\dagger M_u U, \quad M_d \rightarrow M'_d = U^\dagger M_d U, \quad (1.3)$$

where U is an arbitrary unitary matrix that preserves the hermiticity of the mass matrices and leaving the physical quantities invariant, in particular, the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) mixing matrix. This common unitary transformation applied to M_u and M_d , in Eq. (1.3), is known as a “Weak Basis” (WB) transformation [1, 14, 36, 41, 42]. As it was shown in [20, 22], for a given set of quark masses, mixing angles and the

CP-violating phase, all the mass matrices consistent with these experimental values are unitarily equivalent. This result can be used to calculate the maximum number of texture zeros, since it guarantees that by using WB transformations it is possible to reach all physical and non-physical zeros consistent with the data [1, 20]. Through a WB transformation, it is possible to rewrite the quark mass matrices as follows [1, 20–22]:

$$M_u = D_u = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1u} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2u} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{3u} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.4a)$$

$$M_d = V D_d V^\dagger,$$

or

$$M_u = V^\dagger D_u V,$$

$$M_d = D_d = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1d} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{3d} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.4b)$$

where $V = U_u^\dagger U_d$ is the CKM mixing matrix, U_u and U_d are the diagonalization matrices for the mass matrices M_u and M_d , respectively. The parameters λ_{iq} ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are the quark mass matrix eigenvalues for up-type ($q = u$) and down-type ($q = d$) quarks, which are related to the quark masses

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{1u}| &= m_u, |\lambda_{2u}| = m_c, |\lambda_{3u}| = m_t, \\ |\lambda_{1d}| &= m_d, |\lambda_{2d}| = m_s, |\lambda_{3d}| = m_b. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

So λ_{iq} can be positive or negative and obey the hierarchy

$$|\lambda_{1q}| \ll |\lambda_{2q}| \ll |\lambda_{3q}|. \quad (1.6)$$

In the basis (1.4) can be easily verified that the mass matrices are consistent with the CKM mixing matrix V and the quark masses, and the 3 non-physical texture zeros can be effortlessly identified [1]. The hermiticity of the quark mass matrices M_u and M_d reduces the number of free parameters from 36 to 18, which, however, is still a large value compared to the number of observables. In order to reduce the number of free parameters, Weinberg and Fritzsche [9–11, 38] introduced texture-zeros into the

* E-mail: yithsbey@gmail.com

† E-mail: eduro4000@gmail.com

mass matrices with a dual purpose, first of all, to obtain self-consistent relationships between the quark masses and the flavor mixing parameters that can be experimentally verified [14, 15]. On the other hand, the discrete (or continuous) flavor symmetries hidden in such textures may finally provide clues on the origin of the energy scales in the quark sector of the SM as residual symmetries of a more fundamental symmetry at high energies. Hermitian quark mass matrices with six texture zeros were introduced in what is currently known as the Fritzsch type [10, 15], where the mass matrices, M_u , and M_d , have the same texture (“up-down” parallel) each with three zeros. This type of ansatz was ruled out due to the large value of the mass of the top quark, since that for this case the CKM element $|V_{cb}|$ is in tension with the experimental data [7, 15, 25]. Furthermore, for reasonable values of the current quark masses m_u and m_c , the expected magnitude for $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| = \sqrt{m_u/m_c} \approx 0.05$ [39] is too small in comparison with the experimental value ($|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|_{\text{exp.}} \approx 0.09$ [5, 24, 35]). In this sense, one of the difficulties of working with texture zeros is keeping the predictions for V_{us} and V_{cd} right, and simultaneously reproducing the ratios V_{ub}/V_{cb} and V_{td}/V_{ts} , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{V_{ub}}{V_{cb}} \right|_{\text{exp.}} &= 0.0861 \pm 0.0027, \\ \left| \frac{V_{td}}{V_{ts}} \right|_{\text{exp.}} &= 0.2107 \pm 0.0044. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

The original literature on five-zero textures has been widely studied, but these initial ansatzes are not currently favored by experimental data [1, 6, 8, 25, 29, 32–34]. Recent studies show that other five-zero textures are viable, some analytical and numerical examples were reported in [20, 28, 30, 31, 37], these textures reproduce the quark masses and the CKM mixing matrix with deviations respect to the experimental values below 1σ level. There are several approaches to obtain the texture zeros, in some cases, the analytic approximations take advantage of the strong hierarchy in quark masses and mixing angles to motivate a certain texture [12, 15], alternatively, some techniques prefer to assume a texture for the quark mass matrices to make physical predictions [3, 25, 30, 37]. A very elegant way is to apply WB transformations in order to get texture zeros in the mass matrices [1, 20], our work points in this direction and it can be considered as a continuation of the work presented by one of us in [20]. This work is organized as follows: In Section II we classify all possible ways to put three texture-zeros in the “up” or “down” quark mass matrices. This analysis is important since from these textures we can obtain five texture zeros for the mass matrices by using the WB transformation method. We will carry out a first analytical study for five-zero textures in Section III, and the conclusions are summarized in Section IV.

II. FIVE-ZERO TEXTURES

In order to maintain the determinant different from zero the mass matrix for up quarks (or down quarks) has at most three texture-zeros¹. Also, we only have two types of realistic patterns depending on how the three texture zeros are distributed in the inputs of the mass matrix. In the first case we have a matrix with two texture zeros on the diagonal, and in the other case the matrix only contains a texture-zero on the diagonal, as it is pointed out in each column of Table I; where it is shown that by doing WB transformations with the permutation matrices p_i , with $i = 1, \dots, 6$, we obtain all possible viable cases for each pattern. Table I summarizes all the viable three-zero textures (via permutations) for the up and down quark mass matrices. Without loss of generality, as we will see later, we can write these patterns without including phases. An equivalence transforma-

Permutation matrices	Pattern with two zeros on the diagonal ($p_i M_q p_i^T$)	Pattern with one zero on the diagonal ($p_i M_q p_i^T$)
$p_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi_q & 0 \\ \xi_q & 0 & \beta_q \\ 0 & \beta_q & \alpha_q \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi_q & 0 \\ \xi_q & \gamma_q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_q \end{pmatrix}$
$p_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \xi_q \\ 0 & \alpha_q & \beta_q \\ \xi_q & \beta_q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \xi_q \\ 0 & \alpha_q & 0 \\ \xi_q & 0 & \gamma_q \end{pmatrix}$
$p_3 = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_q & \beta_q & 0 \\ \beta_q & 0 & \xi_q \\ 0 & \xi_q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_q & \xi_q \\ 0 & \xi_q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$p_4 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ 1 & & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi_q & \beta_q \\ \xi_q & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_q & 0 & \alpha_q \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_q & \xi_q & 0 \\ \xi_q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_q \end{pmatrix}$
$p_5 = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ 1 & & \\ & 1 & \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_q & 0 & \beta_q \\ 0 & 0 & \xi_q \\ \beta_q & \xi_q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi_q \\ 0 & \xi_q & \gamma_q \end{pmatrix}$
$p_6 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ & & 1 \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_q & \xi_q \\ \beta_q & \alpha_q & 0 \\ \xi_q & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_q & 0 & \xi_q \\ 0 & \alpha_q & 0 \\ \xi_q & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

TABLE I: Mass matrix patterns with three texture-zeros. We are considering two cases, depending on the number of zeros in the diagonal (one or two texture zeros). It is not necessary to include phases.

tion through a permutation is a type of WB transformation, indeed, this fact allows us to find equivalent textures

¹ More than three texture zeros implies that at least one quark mass is equal to zero or two of the quark masses must be equal [20, 30].

through permutations, for example

$$\begin{aligned} M'_u &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} = p_2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} \cdot p_2^T, \\ M'_d &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & 0 \end{pmatrix} = p_2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \times & 0 \\ \times & 0 & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} \cdot p_2^T, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where “ \times ” stands for the non-zero entries. It is important to mention that the permutations do not change the number of zeros on the diagonal.

We will work with five-zero textures for the quark mass matrices. Six-zero textures have already been ruled out [20, 26, 29, 32].

A. Texture-zero patterns

The patterns shown in Table I can be analytically diagonalized. To accomplish this, we consider the most general case of a symmetric mass matrix with two texture zeros

$$M_q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\xi_q| & 0 \\ |\xi_q| & \gamma_q & |\beta_q| \\ 0 & |\beta_q| & \alpha_q \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

where the phases of the off-diagonal parameters can be absorbed (or included) in only one of the mass matrices (the down-type or the up-type) through a WB transformation. γ_q and α_q are real numbers due to the hermiticity of M_q . According to the Table I, the pattern with two zeros on the diagonal is achieved by making $\gamma_q = 0$, and to obtain the pattern with a zero on the diagonal we set

$|\beta_q| = 0$. The mass matrix M_q can be diagonalized using the transformation

$$U_q^\dagger M_q U_q = D_q = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1q} & & \\ & \lambda_{2q} & \\ & & \lambda_{3q} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

where the λ_{iq} ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are defined in (1.5). Note that γ_q , $|\beta_q|$ and $|\xi_q|$ can be expressed in terms of α_q and the λ_{iq} 's. By using the invariants under a basis transformation, $\text{tr} M_q$, $\text{tr} M_q^2$ and $\det M_q$, it follows that

$$\gamma_q = \lambda_{1q} + \lambda_{2q} + \lambda_{3q} - \alpha_q, \quad (2.4a)$$

$$|\beta_q| = \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha_q - \lambda_{1q})(\alpha_q - \lambda_{2q})(\lambda_{3q} - \alpha_q)}{\alpha_q}}, \quad (2.4b)$$

$$|\xi_q| = \sqrt{\frac{-\lambda_{1q}\lambda_{2q}\lambda_{3q}}{\alpha_q}}. \quad (2.4c)$$

According to [1, 20, 23] and the relation (2.4c) (which is real), $\alpha_q > 0$; and from (2.4b), it must be found in one of the following intervals:

$$\text{If } \lambda_{1q} < 0, \lambda_{2q} > 0 \text{ and } \lambda_{3q} > 0 \implies |\lambda_{2q}| \leq \alpha_q \leq |\lambda_{3q}| \quad (2.5a)$$

$$\text{If } \lambda_{1q} > 0, \lambda_{2q} < 0 \text{ and } \lambda_{3q} > 0 \implies |\lambda_{1q}| \leq \alpha_q \leq |\lambda_{3q}|. \quad (2.5b)$$

$$\text{If } \lambda_{1q} > 0, \lambda_{2q} > 0 \text{ and } \lambda_{3q} < 0 \implies |\lambda_{1q}| \leq \alpha_q \leq |\lambda_{2q}|. \quad (2.5c)$$

In the previous analysis, the (1.6) hierarchy was taken into account, and we only considered a negative eigenvalue according to the justification given in papers [20, 23]².

The exact analytical matrix U_q , which diagonalizes the mass matrix (2.2), is given by [16, 20, 41]

$$U_q = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} \frac{|\lambda_{3q}|}{\lambda_{3q}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{2q}\lambda_{3q}(\alpha_q - \lambda_{1q})}{\alpha_q(\lambda_{2q} - \lambda_{1q})(\lambda_{3q} - \lambda_{1q})}} & e^{i\theta_2} \frac{|\lambda_{2q}|}{\lambda_{2q}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1q}\lambda_{3q}(\lambda_{2q} - \alpha_q)}{\alpha_q(\lambda_{2q} - \lambda_{1q})(\lambda_{3q} - \lambda_{2q})}} & \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1q}\lambda_{2q}(\alpha_q - \lambda_{3q})}{\alpha_q(\lambda_{3q} - \lambda_{1q})(\lambda_{3q} - \lambda_{2q})}} \\ -e^{i\theta_1} \frac{|\lambda_{2q}|}{\lambda_{2q}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1q}(\lambda_{1q} - \alpha_q)}{(\lambda_{2q} - \lambda_{1q})(\lambda_{3q} - \lambda_{1q})}} & e^{i\theta_2} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{2q}(\alpha_q - \lambda_{2q})}{(\lambda_{2q} - \lambda_{1q})(\lambda_{3q} - \lambda_{2q})}} & \frac{|\lambda_{3q}|}{\lambda_{3q}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{3q}(\lambda_{3q} - \alpha_q)}{(\lambda_{3q} - \lambda_{1q})(\lambda_{3q} - \lambda_{2q})}} \\ e^{i\theta_1} \frac{|\lambda_{2q}|}{\lambda_{2q}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1q}(\alpha_q - \lambda_{2q})(\alpha_q - \lambda_{3q})}{\alpha_q(\lambda_{2q} - \lambda_{1q})(\lambda_{3q} - \lambda_{1q})}} & -e^{i\theta_2} \frac{|\lambda_{3q}|}{\lambda_{3q}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{2q}(\alpha_q - \lambda_{1q})(\lambda_{3q} - \alpha_q)}{\alpha_q(\lambda_{2q} - \lambda_{1q})(\lambda_{3q} - \lambda_{2q})}} & \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{3q}(\alpha_q - \lambda_{1q})(\alpha_q - \lambda_{2q})}{\alpha_q(\lambda_{3q} - \lambda_{1q})(\lambda_{3q} - \lambda_{2q})}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.6)$$

where we have included additional phases (non-physical) to adjust the CKM mixing matrix to the usual convention (A2), as shown in the reference [21]. It is not neces-

sary to include a phase in the third column, as it can be absorbed by the remaining phases.

The diagonalization matrix (2.6) can be seen as the unitary matrix of a WB transformation on the initial mass representations (1.4). For the case (1.4a):

$$M'_u = U_u(D_u)U_u^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\xi_u| & 0 \\ |\xi_u| & \gamma_u & |\beta_u| \\ 0 & |\beta_u| & \alpha_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7a)$$

$$M'_d = U_u(VD_dV^\dagger)U_u^\dagger, \quad (2.7b)$$

where Eq. (2.3) was considered. As we have already men-

²

The WB transformations allow us to use the basis (1.4a) (or the basis in (1.4b)) as the “starting point” matrices to generate any viable representation of quark mass matrices [20, 22]. If there are texture zeros in mass matrices these can be found by a WB transformation. Texture zeros on the diagonal of the mass matrices imply that at least one of the proper values must be negative [1, 16, 20, 41].

tioned, if we want a pattern of three zeros in the mass matrix M'_u , with two zeros on the diagonal, that is, with $\gamma_u = 0$, it is necessary to make $\alpha_u = \lambda_{1u} + \lambda_{2u} + \lambda_{3u}$ according to (2.4a). From (2.5) this configuration is only possible for $\lambda_{1u}, \lambda_{3u} > 0$ and $\lambda_{2u} < 0$. To find two additional texture zeros in the inputs of the mass matrix (2.7b), we adjust the free parameters θ_1 and θ_2 of the diagonalization matrix (2.6). On the other hand, if we want three zeros for the mass matrix M'_u , but with a single zero on the diagonal, it is necessary to set $|\beta_u| = 0$. To achieve this we have three possibilities (from Eq. (2.4b)): $\alpha_u = \lambda_{1u}$, or $\alpha_u = \lambda_{2u}$, or $\alpha_u = \lambda_{3u}$. In each of these cases, one of the remaining λ_{iu} 's must be negative, which

gives a total of six different possibilities. A similar exercise can be carried out in the case (1.4b).

$$M'_u = U_d (V^\dagger D_u V) U_d^\dagger, \quad (2.8a)$$

$$M'_d = U_d (D_d) U_d^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\xi_d| & 0 \\ |\xi_d| & \gamma_d & |\beta_d| \\ 0 & |\beta_d| & \alpha_d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.8b)$$

where we have used the relation (2.3) for the special case $q = d$. Table II summarizes the numerical results of our study, in the next section we will see these results in more detail from an analytical point of view.

Case	Five-zero textures		Best fit values (MeV)	Negative eigenvalues	Pulls:					
					Wolfenstein parameters: P_λ P_A P_ρ P_η	Up-type quark masses: P_{m_u} P_{m_c} P_{m_t} $-$	Down-type quark masses: P_{m_d} P_{m_s} P_{m_b} $-$			
I	$M_{Iu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \xi_u \\ 0 & \alpha_u & \beta_u \\ \xi_u^* & \beta_u^* & \gamma_u \end{pmatrix}$	a.	$\xi_u = -85.47 + 157.0i$, $\beta_u = 29580 + 5435i$, $\alpha_u = 6054$, $\gamma_u = 167200$, $ \xi_d = 14.53$, $ \beta_d = 442.5$, $\alpha_d = 2904$	$\lambda_{1u} < 0$ $\lambda_{2d} < 0$	-0.54	0.79	0.44	-0.81		
	$M_{Id} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi_d & 0 \\ \xi_d & 0 & \beta_d \\ 0 & \beta_d & \alpha_d \end{pmatrix}$	b.	$\xi_u = 21.04 - 284.5i$, $\beta_u = 18950 + 5890i$, $\alpha_u = 1690$, $\gamma_u = 169000$, $ \xi_d = 13.41$, $ \beta_d = 392.6$, $\alpha_d = 2857$	$\lambda_{2u} < 0$ $\lambda_{2d} < 0$	-0.58	-0.99	-0.53	-0.73		
II	$M_{IIu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \xi_u \\ 0 & \alpha_u & \beta_u \\ \xi_u & \beta_u & \gamma_u \end{pmatrix}$	a.	$ \xi_u = 431.5$, $ \beta_u = 7251$, $\alpha_u = 957.9$, $\gamma_u = 171200$, $\xi_d = 4.316 + 14.26i$, $\gamma_d = 64.13$, $\alpha_d = 2969$	$\lambda_{1u} < 0$ $\lambda_{1d} < 0$	0.12	0.86	0.37	0.89		
	$M_{II d} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi_d & 0 \\ \xi_d^* & \gamma_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_d \end{pmatrix}$	b.	$ \xi_u = 426.3$, $ \beta_u = 7336$, $\alpha_u = 868.1$, $\gamma_u = 172500$, $\xi_d = -4.152 - 13.81i$, $\gamma_d = -62.50$, $\alpha_d = 2916$	$\lambda_{1u} < 0$ $\lambda_{2d} < 0$	0.55	0.81	0.85	0.96		

TABLE II: Patterns for quark mass matrices with five texture zeros. The Wolfenstein parameters for the CKM mixing matrix and the quark masses are reproduced with deviations below 1σ level. In the last column $P_A = \frac{A_{WB} - A_{PDG}}{\Delta A}$, where A_{WB} and A_{PDG} are the values for A from the WB transformation and the PDG best fit, respectively. ΔA is the uncertainty for A reported in the PDG.

III. MASS MATRICES WITH FIVE TEXTURE ZEROS

As it is well known in the literature, for a given texture it is possible to establish relations between the quark masses, the mixing angles and the CP violation phase of the CKM matrix, so that, a study of these relations is important to shed light on the underlying symmetries of the flavor physics. The five-zero textures for the quark mass matrices given in Table II are viable models according to the latest data for the current quark masses and the CKM mixing matrix parameters at the Z scale. In what follows we will consider various cases to implement quark mass matrices with five texture-zeros.

A. Case I

In this configuration, the down-type quark mass matrix contains three texture zeros, two of them on the diagonal, corresponding to the case I in Table II, which has the following analytical structure for quark mass matrices

$$M_{Iu} = P^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & |\xi_u| \\ 0 & \alpha_u & |\beta_u| \\ |\xi_u| & |\beta_u| & \gamma_u \end{pmatrix} P, \quad (3.1)$$

$$M_{Id} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\xi_d| & 0 \\ |\xi_d| & 0 & |\beta_d| \\ 0 & |\beta_d| & \alpha_d \end{pmatrix},$$

where all the phases are reduced to those contained in the diagonal matrix $P = \text{diag}(e^{-i\phi_{\xi_u}}, e^{-i\phi_{\beta_u}}, 1)$ (with

$\phi_{\beta_u} \equiv \arg(\beta_u)$ and $\phi_{\xi_u} \equiv \arg(\xi_u)$ which comes from doing a WB transformation, in such a way that the phases of M_{Id} are absorbed in P . So we have 7 real parameters and 2 phases, to reproduce 10 physical quantities: 6 quark masses, 3 mixing angles and the CP violating phase of the CKM mixing matrix, which implies that relations between masses and mixing angles can be established in the quark sector. The five-zero texture deduced in (3.1) is not a Fritzsch texture of those studied in [14]. Even though they are not identical, the mass matrices (3.1) can be diagonalized with the help of the matrix (2.6). Let's

use the permutation matrix $P_2 = [(1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0)]$, to bring the up-type quark mass matrix to the form $M_u = P^\dagger P_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\xi_u| & 0 \\ |\xi_u| & \gamma_u & |\beta_u| \\ 0 & |\beta_u| & \alpha_u \end{pmatrix} P_2 P$, in such a way that the internal matrix corresponds to that in (2.2). Therefore, the diagonalization matrix is the unitary matrix $P^\dagger P_2 U_u$, where U_u is defined in (2.6), for the case $q = u$. According to (2.4a) the other mass matrix in (3.1), M_{Id} , can be diagonalized if we make $\alpha_d = \lambda_{1d} + \lambda_{2d} + \lambda_{3d}$.

From (2.4) the mass matrix parameters are:

$$\gamma_u = \mp m_u \pm m_c + m_t - \alpha_u, \quad (3.2a)$$

$$|\beta_u| = \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha_u \pm m_u)(\alpha_u \mp m_c)(m_t - \alpha_u)}{\alpha_u}}, \quad (3.2b)$$

$$|\xi_u| = \sqrt{\frac{m_u m_c m_t}{\alpha_u}}, \quad (3.2c)$$

$$\alpha_d = m_d - m_s + m_b, \quad (3.2d)$$

$$|\beta_d| = \sqrt{\frac{(m_b - m_s)(m_d + m_b)(m_s - m_d)}{m_d - m_s + m_b}}, \quad (3.2e)$$

$$|\xi_d| = \sqrt{\frac{m_d m_s m_b}{m_d - m_s + m_b}}, \quad (3.2f)$$

where for the eigenvalues of M_{Iu} we have considered two possible cases $\lambda_{1u} < 0$ (upper sign) and $\lambda_{2u} < 0$ (lower sign). α_u is a free parameter which, according to the equations (2.5), takes values in the intervals:

$$m_c \leq \alpha_u \leq m_t \quad \text{for } \lambda_{1u} < 0, \quad (3.3a)$$

$$m_u \leq \alpha_u \leq m_t \quad \text{for } \lambda_{2u} < 0. \quad (3.3b)$$

The diagonalization matrices for M_{Iu} and M_{Id} in (3.1) are

$$U_{Iu} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\phi_{\xi_u} + \theta_{1u})} \sqrt{\frac{m_c m_t (\alpha_u \pm m_u)}{\alpha_u (m_c + m_u) (m_t \pm m_u)}} & \pm e^{i(\phi_{\xi_u} + \theta_{2u})} \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha_u \mp m_c) m_t m_u}{\alpha_u (m_t \mp m_c) (m_c + m_u)}} & e^{i(\phi_{\xi_u} + \theta_{3u})} \sqrt{\frac{m_c (m_t - \alpha_u) m_u}{\alpha_u (m_t \mp m_c) (m_t \pm m_u)}} \\ \pm e^{i(\phi_{\beta_u} + \theta_{1u})} \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha_u \mp m_c) (m_t - \alpha_u) m_u}{\alpha_u (m_c + m_u) (m_t \pm m_u)}} & -e^{i(\phi_{\beta_u} + \theta_{2u})} \sqrt{\frac{m_c (m_t - \alpha_u) (\alpha_u \pm m_u)}{\alpha_u (m_t \mp m_c) (m_c + m_u)}} & e^{i(\phi_{\beta_u} + \theta_{3u})} \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha_u \mp m_c) m_t (\alpha_u \pm m_u)}{\alpha_u (m_t \mp m_c) (m_t \pm m_u)}} \\ \mp e^{i\theta_{1u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_u (\alpha_u \pm m_u)}{(m_c + m_u) (m_t \pm m_u)}} & e^{i\theta_{2u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_c (\alpha_u \mp m_c)}{(m_t \mp m_c) (m_c + m_u)}} & e^{i\theta_{3u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_t (m_t - \alpha_u)}{(m_t \mp m_c) (m_t \pm m_u)}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$U_{Id} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_{1d}} \sqrt{\frac{m_b (m_b - m_s) m_s}{(m_b - m_d) (m_d + m_s) (m_b + m_d - m_s)}} & -e^{i\theta_{2d}} \sqrt{\frac{m_b (m_b + m_d) m_d}{(m_d + m_s) (m_b + m_d - m_s) (m_b + m_s)}} & \sqrt{\frac{m_d (m_s - m_d) m_s}{(m_b - m_d) (m_b + m_d - m_s) (m_b + m_s)}} \\ e^{i\theta_{1d}} \sqrt{\frac{m_d (m_b - m_s)}{(m_b - m_d) (m_d + m_s)}} & e^{i\theta_{2d}} \sqrt{\frac{(m_b + m_d) m_s}{(m_d + m_s) (m_b + m_s)}} & \sqrt{\frac{m_b (m_s - m_d)}{(m_b - m_d) (m_b + m_s)}} \\ -e^{i\theta_{1d}} \sqrt{\frac{m_d (m_b + m_d) (m_s - m_d)}{(m_b - m_d) (m_d + m_s) (m_b + m_d - m_s)}} & -e^{i\theta_{2d}} \sqrt{\frac{(m_b - m_s) m_s (m_s - m_d)}{(m_d + m_s) (m_b + m_d - m_s) (m_b + m_s)}} & \sqrt{\frac{m_b (m_b + m_d) (m_b - m_s)}{(m_b - m_d) (m_b + m_d - m_s) (m_b + m_s)}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.5)$$

where the non-physical phases $\theta_{1u}, \theta_{2u}, \theta_{3u}, \theta_{1d}$ and θ_{2d} are necessary in order to adjust our theoretical prediction for the CKM to the established convention. To obtain the leading order (LO) terms that contribute to the CKM mixing matrix $V = U_{Iu}^\dagger U_{Id}$ we use the hierarchy of the quark masses (1.6). The analytical results for the LO CKM entries are summarized in Table V. There are several aspects to highlight about the case I:

- In the SM the inputs $|V_{cs}| \approx |V_{tb}| \approx 1$ then the free parameter must satisfy $\alpha_u \ll m_t$, hence $\alpha_u/m_t \ll 1$. Also, due to the condition (3.3a), we have $\alpha_u \gg m_u$.
- The free parameter α_u/m_t is only relevant for the real parts of the matrix elements V_{tb} (although $\alpha_u/m_t \ll 1$ this matrix element is very precisely determined) and V_{ub} . For the matrix elements V_{ts} ,

	Case I		Case II	
	$\lambda_{1u} < 0$	$\lambda_{2u} < 0$	$\lambda_{1u} < 0$	$\lambda_{1u} < 0$
	$\lambda_{2d} < 0$	$\lambda_{2d} < 0$	$\lambda_{1d} < 0$	$\lambda_{2d} < 0$
θ_{1u}	-1.423	-2.844	-1.975	-1.991
θ_{2u}	0.6701	1.856	0	0
θ_{3u}	-0.004737	-0.004617	0	0
θ_{1d}	0.6360	1.930	3.025	-0.1351
θ_{2d}	-2.285	-0.9766	3.148	3.148
ϕ_{ξ_u}	2.069	-1.497	-	-
ϕ_{β_u}	0.1817	0.3015	-	-
ϕ_{ξ_d}	-	-	1.277	-1.863
α_u (MeV)	6054	1690	957.9	868.1
m_u (MeV)	1.792	1.268	1.599	1.642
m_c (MeV)	625.5	633.2	650.2	555.7
m_t (MeV)	172600	171300	171500	172900
m_d (MeV)	2.993	3.148	3.292	3.166
m_s (MeV)	68.93	56.12	67.42	65.66
m_b (MeV)	2970	2910	2969	2916

TABLE III: Fit parameters.

V_{cb} , V_{ub} and V_{td} , α_u/m_t is relevant for adjusting the CP violating phase. For the remaining matrix elements, by neglecting linear terms in α_u/m_t and m_c/m_t , the dominant contributions only depend on ratios between down-type quark masses.

- Relations can be established between the elements of the mixing matrix whose LO terms only involve quark masses as shown in Table IV. Some of these relations are well-known, for example the Gatto-Sartori-Tonin (GST) (Eq. 2, in Table IV) [18]: $\tan\theta_{12} = |V_{us}/V_{ud}| = \sqrt{m_d/m_s}$, which is approximately fulfilled. Another important relation that we can find and that is successfully verified, according to the experimental result (1.7), is given by the expression: $|V_{td}/V_{ts}| \approx \sqrt{m_d/m_s}$ [13]. On the other hand, our analysis also allows us to establish that the relation $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|$ does not coincide with the result $\sqrt{m_u/m_c}$, in accordance with the experimental data (1.7).
- The best fit for the mass matrix parameters (3.1) are shown in Table III.

B. Case II

Another viable analytical texture in Table II is the case II, with quark mass matrices given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{IIu} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & |\xi_u| \\ 0 & \alpha_u & |\beta_u| \\ |\xi_u| & |\beta_u| & \gamma_u \end{pmatrix}, \\
 M_{II d} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\xi_d| e^{i\phi_{\xi_d}} & 0 \\ |\xi_d| e^{-i\phi_{\xi_d}} & \gamma_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_d \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Relations	Case I	Case II
1 $\frac{V_{cs}}{V_{ud} V_{tb}}$	$1 + \dots$	$1 + \dots$
2 $\left \frac{V_{us}}{V_{ud}} \right $	$\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} + \dots$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} + \dots$
3 $\frac{V_{cd}}{V_{ud} V_{tb}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} + \dots$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} + \dots$
4 $\frac{V_{ts}}{V_{ud} V_{cb}}$	$1 + \dots$	$1 + \dots$
5 $\frac{V_{td}}{V_{ud} V_{cb}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} + \dots$	-
6 $\left \frac{V_{cs}}{V_{tb}} \right $	$\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_s+m_d}} + \dots$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_s+m_d}} + \dots$
7 $\frac{V_{cs}}{V_{us} V_{tb}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_d}} + \dots$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_d}} + \dots$
8 $\left \frac{V_{cs}}{V_{cd}} \right $	$\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_d}} + \dots$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_d}} + \dots$
9 $\frac{V_{ts} V_{tb}}{V_{cs} V_{cb}}$	$1 + \dots$	$1 + \dots$
10 $\frac{V_{td} V_{tb}}{V_{cs} V_{cb}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} + \dots$	-
11 $\left \frac{V_{cd}}{V_{tb}} \right $	$\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s+m_d}} + \dots$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s+m_d}} + \dots$
12 $\frac{V_{cd}}{V_{us} V_{tb}}$	$1 + \dots$	$1 + \dots$
13 $\frac{V_{ts}}{V_{us} V_{cb}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_d}} + \dots$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_d}} + \dots$
14 $\frac{V_{td}}{V_{us} V_{cb}}$	$1 + \dots$	-
15 $\frac{V_{ts} V_{tb}}{V_{cd} V_{cb}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_d}} + \dots$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_d}} + \dots$
16 $\frac{V_{td} V_{tb}}{V_{cd} V_{cb}}$	$1 + \dots$	-
17 $\frac{V_{ts}}{V_{cb}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_s+m_d}} + \dots$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_s+m_d}} + \dots$
18 $\frac{V_{td}}{V_{ts}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} + \dots$	-
19 $\frac{V_{td}}{V_{cb}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s+m_d}} + \dots$	-

TABLE IV: Leading order relations between the CKM matrix elements.

In this case we have only one phase, ϕ_{ξ_d} , responsible for the CP violation. And there are 7 real parameters. This texture is a Fritzsch-type [14].

As in the previous case we can obtain relations between the elements of the CKM and the quark masses. The structure of the matrix M_{IIu} is similar to the one given in Eq. (3.1), without including phases, so it can be inferred that the diagonalization matrix for this case is: $P_2 U_u$ with $P_2 = [(1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0)]$ and U_u defined in (2.6) for $q = u$.

The matrix $M_{II d}$ in (3.6) has a zero structure like the one given in (2.2) with $|\beta_q| = 0$, so that, there are several possibilities to be considered: $\alpha_d = \lambda_{1d} > 0$, $|\xi_d| = \sqrt{-\lambda_{2d} \lambda_{3d}}$ and $\gamma_d = \lambda_{2d} + \lambda_{3d}$; or $\alpha_d = \lambda_{2d} > 0$, $|\xi_d| = \sqrt{-\lambda_{1d} \lambda_{3d}}$ and $\gamma_d = \lambda_{1d} + \lambda_{3d}$; or $\alpha_d = \lambda_{3d} > 0$, $|\xi_d| = \sqrt{-\lambda_{1d} \lambda_{2d}}$ and $\gamma_d = \lambda_{1d} + \lambda_{2d}$ [20]. From the last option we obtain the two cases with the best agreement with the data, as reported in Table II. Here the diagonalization matrix for $M_{II d}$ is $P_d^\dagger U_d$ with U_d as given in (2.6) for $q = d$ and $P_d = \text{diag}(e^{-i\phi_{\xi_d}}, 1, 1)$. The parameters of the mass matrices (3.6), according to the relations (2.4)

are given by:

$$\gamma_u = -m_u + m_c + m_t - \alpha_u, \quad (3.7a)$$

$$|\beta_u| = \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha_u + m_u)(\alpha_u - m_c)(m_t - \alpha_u)}{\alpha_u}}, \quad (3.7b)$$

$$|\xi_u| = \sqrt{\frac{m_u m_c m_t}{\alpha_u}}, \quad (3.7c)$$

$$\alpha_d = m_b, \quad (3.7d)$$

$$|\xi_d| = \sqrt{m_d m_s}, \quad (3.7e)$$

$$\gamma_d = \mp m_d \pm m_s, \quad (3.7f)$$

where $\lambda_{1u} < 0$; the upper sign, for $\lambda_{1d} < 0$ and the lower sign, for $\lambda_{2d} < 0$; and $\alpha_u > 0$ is a free parameter in the range:

$$m_c \leq \alpha_u \leq m_t. \quad (3.8)$$

In this case, the diagonalization matrices of the mass operators (3.6), are:

$$U_{IIu} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_{1u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_c m_t (\alpha_u + m_u)}{\alpha_u (m_c + m_u) (m_t + m_u)}} & e^{i\theta_{2u}} \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha_u - m_c) m_t m_u}{\alpha_u (m_t - m_c) (m_c + m_u)}} & e^{i\theta_{3u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_c (m_t - \alpha_u) m_u}{\alpha_u (m_t - m_c) (m_t + m_u)}} \\ e^{i\theta_{1u}} \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha_u - m_c) (m_t - \alpha_u) m_u}{\alpha_u (m_c + m_u) (m_t + m_u)}} & -e^{i\theta_{2u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_c (m_t - \alpha_u) (\alpha_u + m_u)}{\alpha_u (m_t - m_c) (m_c + m_u)}} & e^{i\theta_{3u}} \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha_u - m_c) m_t (\alpha_u + m_u)}{\alpha_u (m_t - m_c) (m_t + m_u)}} \\ -e^{i\theta_{1u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_u (\alpha_u + m_u)}{(m_c + m_u) (m_t + m_u)}} & e^{i\theta_{2u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_c (\alpha_u - m_c)}{(m_t - m_c) (m_c + m_u)}} & e^{i\theta_{3u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_t (m_t - \alpha_u)}{(m_t - m_c) (m_t + m_u)}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$U_{II d} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\phi_{\xi_d} + \theta_{1d})} \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_d + m_s}} & \pm e^{i(\phi_{\xi_d} + \theta_{2d})} \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_d + m_s}} & 0 \\ \mp e^{i\theta_{1d}} \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_d + m_s}} & e^{i\theta_{2d}} \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_d + m_s}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.10)$$

The best fit parameters are shown in Table III.

Taking into account the hierarchy of the quark masses, Eq. (1.6), and the interval for the parameter α_u , Eq. (3.8), to LO the entries of the CKM, $V = U_u^\dagger U_d$, are summarized in Table V, case II; from these results we conclude that:

- As in the case I, $|V_{cs}| \approx |V_{tb}| \approx 1$, such that $\alpha_u \ll m_t$. Also, due to (3.8), $\alpha_u \gg m_u$. Again we verify that the relation $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| \neq \sqrt{m_u/m_c}$ is not satisfied. Although the relationship $|V_{td}/V_{ts}| \approx \sqrt{m_d/m_s}$ is not verified in principle for this case; we can see from Table V, for the case II, that if we omit the second term of the approximation for V_{td} , it is enough to reproduce this relationship. As it is shown numerically, for this case high order contributions are negligible.
- The CKM matrix elements, V_{ts} , V_{cb} , V_{ub} , V_{td} depend heavily on the α_u parameter, the remaining elements depend on ratios between down quark masses. Only the V_{td} matrix element has information about the phase, which in turn depends on the

ratio α_u/m_t , which is a noticeable difference with respect to case I.

- As in the case I, the LO relations between the CKM elements involving only quark masses are shown in Table IV.
- Although, the results are similar to the expressions given in Table IV for the case I, for the case II the relations 5, 10, 14, 16, 18 and 19 are absent (the corresponding expressions are cumbersome).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Using the WB transformation method [20, 22], we found configurations for the quark mass matrices with the maximum number of possible texture zeros. To accomplish this, we start from the general basis (1.4a) and (1.4b), from which the expressions (2.7) and (2.8) can be obtained, respectively. Modulo permutations, only the configurations shown in Table I, for mass matrices with one or two zeros in the diagonal, are possible.

From these patterns we obtained the cases I and II in Table II, corresponding to the five-zero textures in Eq. (3.1) and Eq. (3.6), respectively, which reproduce the quark masses, mixing angles and the CP violation phase, with deviations from the experimental values below 1σ level.

The first case has nine free parameters: 7 real and 2 phases, while the second case has eight free parameters: 7 real and 1 phase. In both cases, it is necessary to reproduce ten physical quantities: 6 quark masses, 3 mixing angles and the CP violation phase, the lack of balance between the number of free parameters and the physical quantities implies physical relations between the quark masses and the CKM mixing angles, which are reported in Table V. Additionally, the relation GST [18] is maintained and we can adjust the CP violation phase of the SM. Additionally, our five-zero texture models reproduce the experimental quantities (1.7) deviating from the experimental central value by at most 1σ level. First, for both cases I and II, we can verify that the relation $|V_{td}/V_{ts}| \approx \sqrt{m_d/m_s}$ is satisfied, while the relation $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| \neq \sqrt{m_u/m_c}$ is not, as already indicated in previous works [5, 24, 35]. In some cases, even with the LO approximations given in Table V we can reproduce the results (1.7). We have several free parameters to adjust the physical quantities: the CP-violating phases, the calibration phases θ_{qi} with $q = u, d$, in the diagonalization matrices, and the real parameter α_u . In our analysis the analytical LO expressions for the case I, with $\lambda_{1u} < 0$ and for the case II, with $\lambda_{1d} < 0$, are enough to keep all the observables inside of the error bars. For the other cases, $\lambda_{2u} < 0$ and $\lambda_{2d} < 0$, satisfactory results were not achieved with the LO approximations provided in Table V. In these cases, the complete expressions must be taken into account in order to get a good fit.

The case I is an original proposal which was not considered in the Fritzsche original work [14] nor in later studies. Case II has been widely considered in the literature [6, 14, 28, 29, 31, 37], but in our approach, we take a negative eigenvalue (which has not been considered previously) for the mass of the lightest down quark, that is, $\lambda_{1d} < 0$. Here, it should be mentioned that, without losing generality, only one negative eigenvalue is

necessary for each mass matrix [20, 22]. Also, it is important to say that the relations in Table V are comparable to the results reported in [16, 19, 26, 31, 37].

The purpose of the texture zeros for quark mass matrices is to find relations between quark masses and the flavor mixing parameters in consistency with the experimental data [14]. For the textures deduced in this work, the quark mass ratios contribute significantly to the flavor mixing parameters as shown in Table IV; In Table V, it is possible to observe additional contributions (not exclusively dependent on the quark masses) which also depend on the free parameter α_μ and on the phases responsible for the CP violation. It is important to highlight that the LO contributions to the relations involving the CKM matrix elements mainly depend on ratios of down-type quark masses. The relations reported in this manuscript, could be useful to disentangle the underlying symmetries under the mass scales in the SM.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In these subjects we acknowledge the collaboration of W. A. Ponce, R. Benavides and L. Muñoz. We also acknowledge financial support from VIIS in the Universidad de Nariño, Approval Contract No. 024 and 160, project numbers: 1048, 1928 and 2172.

Appendix A: Quark mass matrices and the CKM mixing matrix

The parameters of the CKM are reported at the Z pole scale $\mu = M_Z$, hence the same scale is used to evaluate the current quark masses (in MeV) [39]., i.e.,

$$m_u = 1.38_{-0.41}^{+0.42}, \quad m_c = 638_{-84}^{+43}, \quad m_t = 172100 \pm 1200, \\ m_d = 2.82 \pm 0.48, \quad m_s = 57_{-12}^{+18}, \quad m_b = 2860_{-60}^{+160}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

The CKM unitary matrix [2, 27, 35] can be parameterized by three mixing angles and the CP violation phase [27]. The form of this matrix in the standard parametrization is given by [4].

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} c_{13} & s_{12} c_{13} & s_{13} e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12} c_{23} - c_{12} s_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta} & c_{12} c_{23} - s_{12} s_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta} & s_{23} c_{13} \\ s_{12} s_{23} - c_{12} c_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta} & -c_{12} s_{23} - s_{12} c_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta} & c_{23} c_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$, $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$, the angles θ_{ij} are said to lie in the first quadrant, such that $\sin \theta_{ij}, \cos \theta_{ij} \geq 0$. The phase δ is responsible for all the CP violation phenomena in the flavor changing processes in SM. For various applications it is useful to use the Wolfenstein parameterization [35]

$$\lambda = \sin \theta_{12}, \quad A = \frac{\sin \theta_{23}}{\sin^2 \theta_{12}}, \quad \rho = \frac{\sin \theta_{13} \cos \delta}{\sin \theta_{12} \sin \theta_{23}}, \quad \eta = \frac{\sin \theta_{13} \sin \delta}{\sin \theta_{12} \sin \theta_{23}}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

The CKMfitter and UTfit Collaborations [5, 24] provide updated fits for the Wolfenstein parameters,

$$\lambda = 0.22500_{-0.00100}^{+0.00100}, \quad A = 0.826_{-0.012}^{+0.012}, \quad \rho = 0.152_{-0.014}^{+0.014}, \quad \eta = 0.357_{-0.010}^{+0.010}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

The best fit values for CKM matrix elements are

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} (0.97431 \pm 0.00012) & (0.22514 \pm 0.00055) & (0.00365 \pm 0.00010)e^{i(-66.8 \pm 2.0)^\circ} \\ (-0.22500 \pm 0.00054)e^{i(0.0351 \pm 0.0010)^\circ} & (0.97344 \pm 0.00012)e^{i(-0.001880 \pm 0.000052)^\circ} & (0.04241 \pm 0.00065) \\ (0.00869 \pm 0.00014)e^{i(-22.23 \pm 0.63)^\circ} & (-0.04124 \pm 0.00056)e^{i(1.056 \pm 0.032)^\circ} & (0.999112 \pm 0.000024) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

Case	Five-zero textures.	LO predictions for the CKM mixing matrix elements V_{CKM} :
I	$M_u = P^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \xi_u \\ 0 & \alpha_u & \beta_u \\ \xi_u & \beta_u & \gamma_u \end{pmatrix} P,$ $M_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi_d & 0 \\ \xi_d & 0 & \beta_d \\ 0 & \beta_d & \alpha_d \end{pmatrix},$ <p>where $P = \text{diag}(e^{-i\phi_{\xi_u}}, e^{-i\phi_{\beta_u}}, 1)$. Besides $m_c < \alpha_u \ll m_t$.</p> <p>With the upper sign (“−”) for the case (Ia), Table II: $\lambda_{1u} < 0$ and $\lambda_{2d} < 0$.</p> <p>With the lower sign (“+”) for the case (Ib), Table II: $\lambda_{2u} < 0$ and $\lambda_{2d} < 0$.</p>	$ V_{ud} = \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_s + m_d}} + \dots,$ $ V_{cs} = \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_s + m_d}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_u}{m_t}\right) + \dots,$ $ V_{tb} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha_u}{m_t}} + \dots,$ $ V_{us} = \left \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s + m_d}} + \dots \right ,$ $ V_{cd} = \left \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s + m_d}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_u}{m_t}\right) + \dots \right ,$ $ V_{ts} = \left \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_s + m_d}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{m_s - m_d}{m_b}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_u}{m_t}\right) - e^{-i\phi_{\beta_u}} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_u}{m_t} \mp \frac{m_c}{m_t}} \right] + \dots \right ,$ $ V_{cb} = \left \sqrt{\frac{m_s - m_d}{m_b}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_u}{m_t}\right) - e^{i\phi_{\beta_u}} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_u}{m_t} \mp \frac{m_c}{m_t}} + \dots \right ,$ $ V_{ub} = \left \sqrt{\frac{m_u \alpha_u}{m_c m_t}} - e^{-i\phi_{\beta_u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_u(m_s - m_d)}{m_b}} \left(\frac{1}{m_c} \mp \frac{1}{\alpha_u}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_u}{m_t}\right) \right. \\ \left. \mp e^{-i\phi_{\xi_u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_d m_s(m_s - m_d)}{m_b^3}} + \dots \right ,$ $ V_{td} = \left \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s + m_d}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{m_s - m_d}{m_b}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_u}{m_t}\right) - e^{-i\phi_{\beta_u}} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_u}{m_t} \mp \frac{m_c}{m_t}} \right] + \dots \right .$
II	$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \xi_u \\ 0 & \alpha_u & \beta_u \\ \xi_u & \beta_u & \gamma_u \end{pmatrix},$ $M_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi_d e^{i\phi_{\xi_d}} & 0 \\ \xi_d e^{-i\phi_{\xi_d}} & \gamma_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_d \end{pmatrix},$ <p>where $m_c < \alpha_u \ll m_t$, and</p> <p>Upper sign (−): for $\lambda_{1u} < 0$ and $\lambda_{1d} < 0$.</p> <p>Lower sign (+): for $\lambda_{1u} < 0$ and $\lambda_{2d} < 0$.</p>	$ V_{ud} = \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_s + m_d}} + \dots,$ $ V_{cs} = \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_s + m_d}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_u}{m_t}\right) + \dots,$ $ V_{tb} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha_u}{m_t}} + \dots,$ $ V_{us} = \left \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s + m_d}} + \dots \right ,$ $ V_{cd} = \left \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s + m_d}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_u}{m_t}\right) + \dots \right ,$ $ V_{ts} = \left \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_s + m_d}} \left(\frac{\alpha_u}{m_t} - \frac{m_c}{m_t}\right) + \dots \right ,$ $ V_{cb} = \left \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_u}{m_t} - \frac{m_c}{m_t}} + \dots \right ,$ $ V_{ub} = \left \sqrt{\frac{m_u \alpha_u}{m_c m_t}} + \dots \right ,$ $ V_{td} = \left \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s + m_d}} \left(\frac{\alpha_u}{m_t} - \frac{m_c}{m_t}\right) \mp e^{i\phi_{\xi_d}} \sqrt{\frac{m_s m_c m_u}{m_s + m_d}} \frac{1}{m_t} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_u} - \frac{1}{m_t}\right) + \dots \right .$

TABLE V: Cases I and II for the quark mass matrices with five texture-zeros. And their corresponding LO predictions for the CKM elements.

-
- [1] G. C. Branco, D. Emmanuel-Costa, and R. Gonzalez Felipe. Texture zeros and weak basis transformations. *Phys. Lett.*, B477:147–155, 2000.
- [2] N. Cabibbo. Unitary symmetry and leptonic decays. *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, 10:531–533, 1963.
- [3] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández and I. de Medeiros Varzielas. Viable textures for the fermion sector. *J. Phys. G*, 42(6):065002, 2015.
- [4] L.-L. Chau and W.-Y. Keung. Comments on the parametrization of the kobayashi-maskawa matrix. *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, 53:1802, 1984.
- [5] U. Collaboration. Ufit. <http://www.utfit.org/UTfit/>, 2019.
- [6] B. R. Desai and A. R. Vaucher. Quark mass matrices with four and five texture zeroes, and the CKM matrix, in terms of mass eigenvalues. *Phys. Rev.*, D63:113001, 2001.
- [7] B. Dutta and S. Nandi. A new ansatz: Fritzsche mass matrices with least modification. *Phys.Lett.*, B366:281–286, 1996.
- [8] P. Fakay. Revisiting texture 5 zero quark mass matrices. arXiv:1410.7142 [hep-ph], 2014.
- [9] H. Fritzsch. Calculating the cabibbo angle. *Phys.Lett.*, B70:436, 1977.
- [10] H. Fritzsch. Weak interaction mixing in the six - quark theory. *Phys.Lett.*, B73:317–322, 1978.
- [11] H. Fritzsch. Quark Masses and Flavor Mixing. *Nucl. Phys.*, B155:189–207, 1979.
- [12] H. Fritzsch. Hierarchical Chiral Symmetries and the Quark Mass Matrix. *Phys. Lett. B*, 184:391–396, 1987.
- [13] H. Fritzsch. Flavor Mixing of Quarks and a New Texture. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, 36(13):2150097, 2021.
- [14] H. Fritzsch and Z.-z. Xing. Mass and flavor mixing schemes of quarks and leptons. *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.*, 45:1–81, 2000.
- [15] H. Fritzsch and Z.-z. Xing. Four zero texture of Hermitian quark mass matrices and current experimental tests. *Phys. Lett.*, B555:63–70, 2003.
- [16] H. Fritzsch and Z. zhong Xing. Four zero texture of hermitian quark mass matrices and current experimental tests. *Phys.Lett.*, B555:63–70, 2003.
- [17] H. Fusaoka and Y. Koide. Updated estimate of running quark masses. *Phys. Rev. D*, 57:3986–4001, 1998.
- [18] R. Gatto, G. Sartori, and M. Tonin. Weak selfmasses, cabibbo angle, and broken $su(2) \otimes su(2)$. *Phys.Lett.*, B28:128–130, 1968.
- [19] P. S. Gill and M. Gupta. Fritzsche-xing mass matrices, v_{td} and cp violating phase δ . *Phys.Rev.*, D56:3143–3146, 1997.
- [20] Y. Giraldo. Texture Zeros and WB Transformations in the Quark Sector of the Standard Model. *Phys. Rev.*, D86:093021, 2012.
- [21] Y. Giraldo. Reply to "Comment on "Texture Zeros and WB Transformations in the Quark Sector of the Standard Model". *Phys. Rev.*, D91(3):038302, 2015.
- [22] Y. Giraldo. Seeking Texture Zeros in the Quark Mass Matrix Sector of the Standard Model. *Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc.*, 267-269:76–78, 2015.
- [23] Y. Giraldo and E. Rojas. Five Non-Fritzsche Texture Zeros for Quarks Mass Matrices in the Standard Model. In *38th International Symposium on Physics in Collision*, 11 2018.
- [24] T. C. Group. Ckmfitter. http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr/www/html/ckm_main.html, 2019.
- [25] M. Gupta and G. Ahuja. Flavor mixings and textures of the fermion mass matrices. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, A27:1230033, 2012.
- [26] S. Kaundal, A. Bagai, G. Ahuja, and M. Gupta. Minimal texture of quark mass matrices and precision CKM measurements. 2019.
- [27] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa. Cp violation in the renormalizable theory of weak interaction. *Prog.Theor.Phys.*, 49:652–657, 1973.
- [28] P. O. Ludl and W. Grimus. A complete survey of texture zeros in general and symmetric quark mass matrices. *Phys. Lett.*, B744:38–42, 2015.
- [29] N. Mahajan, R. Verma, and M. Gupta. Investigating non-Fritzsche like texture specific quark mass matrices. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, A25:2037–2048, 2010.
- [30] W. A. Ponce and R. H. Benavides. Texture Zeros for the Standard Model Quark Mass Matrices. *Eur. Phys. J.*, C71:1641, 2011.
- [31] W. A. Ponce, J. D. Gómez, and R. H. Benavides. Five texture zeros and CP violation for the standard model quark mass matrices. *Phys. Rev.*, D87(5):053016, 2013.
- [32] M. Randhawa, V. Bhatnagar, P. S. Gill, and M. Gupta. Unique mass texture for quarks and leptons. *Phys. Rev.*, D60:051301, 1999.
- [33] S. Sharma, P. Fakay, G. Ahuja, and M. Gupta. Clues towards unified textures. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, A29:1444005, 2014.
- [34] S. Sharma, P. Fakay, G. Ahuja, and M. Gupta. Finding a unique texture for quark mass matrices. *Phys. Rev.*, D91:053004, 2015.
- [35] M. Tanabashi et al. Review of Particle Physics. *Phys. Rev.*, D98(3):030001, 2018.
- [36] R. Verma. Minimal Weak Basis Textures and Quark Mixing Data. *J. Phys.*, G40:125003, 2013.
- [37] R. Verma. Exploring the predictability of symmetric texture zeros in quark mass matrices. *Phys. Rev.*, D96(9):093010, 2017.
- [38] S. Weinberg. The problem of mass. *Trans.New York Acad.Sci.*, 38:185–201, 1977.
- [39] Z.-z. Xing, H. Zhang, and S. Zhou. Impacts of the Higgs mass on vacuum stability, running fermion masses and two-body Higgs decays. *Phys. Rev.*, D86:013013, 2012.
- [40] Z.-z. Xing and Z.-h. Zhao. On the four-zero texture of quark mass matrices and its stability. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 897:302–325, 2015.
- [41] Z. zhong Xing and H. Zhang. Complete parameter space of quark mass matrices with four texture zeros. *J. Phys.*, G30:129–136, 2004.
- [42] Y.-F. Zhou. Textures and hierarchies in quark mass matrices with four texture zeros. hep-ph/0309076, 2003.