

# Gauge Symmetry in the Hamilton-Jacobi Formulation

G. E. R. Zambrano - J. F. Portillo

Departamento de Física  
Universidad de Nariño

# Content

- Proca Field with Gauge Symmetry.
- Hamilton-Jacobi Formulation.
- Integrability Condition (IC).
- Characteristic Equations (CE).
- Gauge Symmetry.
- Gauge Conditions and Generalized Brackets.
- Conclusions.

## Proca Field with Gauge Symmetry

The Proca field is described by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m^2 A_\mu A^\mu, \quad (1)$$

where  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ . The gauge symmetry can be incorporated by adding a nonlocal and non-polynomial term to (1). If the mass term transforms as

$$\frac{1}{2}m^2 A_\mu A^\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}m^2 (A_\mu + \partial_\mu \Lambda) (A^\mu + \partial^\mu \Lambda), \quad (2)$$

and the parameter  $\Lambda$  is redefined to rewrite the mass term as

$$\frac{1}{2}m^2 \left[ A_\mu + \frac{1}{e} \partial_\mu \theta \right]^2. \quad (3)$$

This expression becomes gauge invariant under

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \Lambda(x), \quad \theta(x) \rightarrow \theta(x) - e\Lambda(x), \quad (4)$$

with  $\square\theta \neq 0$ , where  $\theta$  is an auxiliary scalar field and  $e$  a coupling constant. This leads to the effective gauge-invariant Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m^2 \left[ A_\mu + \frac{1}{e}\partial_\mu\theta \right]^2. \quad (5)$$

From (5), the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equations follow:

$$\partial_\nu F^{\nu\mu} + m^2 A^\mu = -\frac{1}{e}m^2\partial^\mu\theta, \quad \partial_\nu \left[ A^\nu + \frac{1}{e}\partial^\nu\theta \right] = 0. \quad (6)$$

## Hamilton-Jacobi Formulation

The canonical momenta associated to the fields  $A_\mu$  and  $\theta$  are:

$$\pi^\mu \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 A_\mu)} = -F^{0\mu} \quad \text{y} \quad p_\theta \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 \theta)} = \frac{m^2}{e} (A_0 + \frac{1}{e} \partial_0 \theta). \quad (7)$$

From the definition of the canonical momenta associated to the field  $A_\mu$  we obtain

$$\phi^1 \equiv \pi^0 = 0. \quad (8)$$

The Hamilton-Jacobi (HJ) equation is defined by,

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + H_C = 0, \quad (9)$$

The Hamiltonian is defined by:

$$H_C = \int d^3x \mathcal{H}_C. \quad (10)$$

where the canonical Hamiltonian density is:

$$\mathcal{H}_C = \pi^\mu(x) \dot{A}_\mu(x) + p_\theta(x) \dot{\theta}(x) - \mathcal{L}. \quad (11)$$

and it takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_C = & \frac{1}{2} \pi^k \pi^k + \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^2}{m^2} p_\theta^2 + \pi^k \partial_k A_0 - e A_0 p_\theta \\ & + \frac{1}{4} F_{ki} F^{ki} + \frac{m^2}{2} \left( A_k + \frac{1}{e} \partial_k \theta \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We have the initial set of Hamilton-Jacobi Partial Differential Equations (HJPDE)

$$\phi^0 \equiv p^t + \mathcal{H}_c = 0, \quad \rightarrow \quad t, \quad (13)$$

$$\phi^1 \equiv \pi^0 = 0, \quad \rightarrow \quad \omega_1. \quad (14)$$

Let us define  $p^t \equiv \partial_0 S$ . The phase is characterized by the variables:  $A_\mu$ ,  $\pi^\mu$ ,  $\theta$  and  $p_\theta$ . The fundamental Poisson Brackets (PB) are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \{F(x), G(y)\}_{x_0=y_0} &\equiv \int d^3z \left[ \frac{\delta F(x)}{\delta A_\mu(z)} \frac{\delta G(y)}{\delta \pi^\mu(z)} - \frac{\delta F(x)}{\delta \pi^\mu(z)} \frac{\delta G(y)}{\delta A_\mu(z)} \right] \\ &+ \int d^3z \left[ \frac{\delta F(x)}{\delta \theta(z)} \frac{\delta G(y)}{\delta p_\theta(z)} - \frac{\delta F(x)}{\delta p_\theta(z)} \frac{\delta G(y)}{\delta \theta(z)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The non null fundamental Poisson brackets are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\{A_\mu(x), \pi^\nu(y)\} &= \delta_\mu^\nu \delta^3(x-y), \\ \{\theta(x), p_\theta(y)\} &= \delta^3(x-y).\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

The dynamic evolution of any function defined in the phase space is given by,

$$dF(x) = \int d^3y [\{F(x), \phi^0(y)\} dt + \{F(x), \phi^1(y)\} d\omega_1(y)].\tag{16}$$

## Integrability Condition (IC)

The IC on the equations  $\phi^1$  imply the following relation

$$d\phi^1(x) = \int d^3y [\{\phi^1(x), \phi^0(y)\} dt + \{\phi^1(x), \phi^1(y)\} d\omega_1(y)] = 0. \quad (17)$$

be imposed on the system. The condition for  $\phi^1$  gives,

$$d\phi^1 = [\partial_k \pi^k + ep_\theta] dt = 0. \quad (18)$$

Since  $\phi^1$  is not in involution, IC give new HJPDE:

$$\phi^2 \equiv \partial_k^x \pi^k(x) + ep_\theta(x) = 0, \quad (19)$$

and the IC have to be tested with this too.

It is possible to show that IC is automatically satisfied, i.e.,

$$d\phi^2 = 0. \quad (20)$$

Thus, the system  $\phi^1$  and  $\phi^2$  is completely integrable. The final algebra between the HJPDE is given by

$$\{\phi^i(x), \phi^j(y)\} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (21)$$

This relation indicate that the set  $\phi^0$ ,  $\phi^1$  and  $\phi^2$  are involutive with the PBs, thus, the integrability is achieved.

## Characteristic Equations (CE)

With the complete set of HJPDE:  $\phi^0$ ,  $\mathcal{G}^\lambda \equiv \phi^1$  and  $\mathcal{G}^\omega \equiv \phi^2$ , the fundamental differential is now given by:

$$dF(x) = \int d^2y \left[ \{F(x), \phi^0(y)\}^* dx^0 + \{F(x), \mathcal{G}^\lambda(y)\}^* d\lambda(y) + \{F(x), \mathcal{G}^\omega(y)\}^* d\omega(y) \right]. \quad (22)$$

From where we obtain the characteristic equations

$$\begin{aligned} dA_\mu &= \delta_\mu^k \left( \pi^k + \partial_k A_0 \right) dt + \delta_\mu^0 d\lambda - \delta_\mu^k \partial_k d\omega, \\ d\pi^\mu(x) &= \left\{ \delta_0^\mu \left( \partial_k \pi^k + e p_\theta \right) + \delta_k^\mu \left[ \partial_l F^{kl} - m^2 \left( A_k + \frac{1}{e} \partial_k \theta \right) \right] \right\} dt, \\ d\theta(x) &= \left[ \frac{e^2}{m^2} p_\theta - e A_0 \right] dt + e d\omega, \\ dp_\theta(x) &= \frac{m^2}{e} \partial_k \left( A_k + \frac{1}{e} \partial_k \theta \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The dynamic evolution of the system depends on the parameters  $t$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\omega$ . The IC states that these parameters are independents.

If we consider the evolution in the temporal direction, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_0 A_\mu &= \delta_\mu^k \left( \pi^k + \partial_k A_0 \right), \\ \partial_0 \pi^\mu(x) &= \delta_0^\mu \left( \partial_k \pi^k + e p_\theta \right) + \delta_k^\mu \left[ \partial_l F^{kl} - m^2 \left( A_k + \frac{1}{e} \partial_k \theta \right) \right], \\ \partial_0 \theta(x) &= \left[ \frac{e^2}{m^2} p_\theta - e A_0 \right], \\ \partial_0 p_\theta(x) &= \frac{m^2}{e} \partial_k \left( A_k + \frac{1}{e} \partial_k \theta \right).\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

## Gauge Symmetry

If the parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\omega$  depend on time, then the dynamics of the fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{A}_\mu &= \delta_\mu^0 \dot{\lambda} + \delta_\mu^k \left( \pi^k - \partial_k A_0 \right) + \delta_\mu^k \partial_k \dot{\omega}, \\ \dot{\pi}^\mu &= \delta_0^\mu \left( \partial_k \pi^k + e p_\theta \right) + \delta_k^\mu \left[ \partial_l F^{lk} - m^2 \left( A_k + \frac{1}{e} \partial_k \theta \right) \right], \\ \dot{\theta} &= \frac{e^2}{m^2} p_\theta - e A_0 + e \dot{\omega}, \\ \dot{p}_\theta &= \frac{m^2}{e} \partial_k \left( A_k + \frac{1}{e} \partial_k \theta \right).\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

These equations can be combined and result in

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} + m^2 \left[ A^\nu + \frac{1}{e} \partial^\nu \theta \right] = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_\mu \left[ A^\mu + \frac{1}{e} \partial^\mu \theta \right] = \partial_0 \dot{\omega}.\tag{26}$$



The evolution along the parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\omega$  are identified as variations denoted with  $\delta_\lambda$  and  $\delta_\omega$  they are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\lambda A_\mu &= \delta_\mu^0 \delta\lambda, \\ \delta_\omega A_\mu &= -\delta_\mu^k \partial_k \delta\omega, \\ \delta_\omega \theta &= e\delta\omega.\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

In order to consider local transformations we must consider that this parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\omega$  are now depend on the time variable. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta A_\mu &= \delta_\mu^0 \delta\lambda - \delta_\mu^k \partial_k \delta\omega, \\ \delta \theta &= e\delta\omega.\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

The set of local variations (28) is now generated by the linear combinations of the involutive Hamiltonians, i.e.,

$$G^{\text{can}} \equiv \int d^2y \left[ \mathcal{G}^\lambda \delta\lambda + \mathcal{G}^\omega \delta\omega \right]. \quad (29)$$

we call to  $G^{\text{can}}$  the generator of canonical transformations since we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_\mu &= \{A_\mu, G^{\text{can}}\} = \delta_\mu^0 \delta\lambda - \delta_\mu^k \partial_k \delta\omega, \\ \delta\theta &= \{A_\mu, G^{\text{can}}\} = e\delta\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The variation of the action functional for fixed point variations is,

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = \left[ \partial_l F^{l0} + m^2 \left( A^0 + \frac{1}{e} \partial^0 \theta \right) \right] (\delta\lambda + \partial_0 \delta\omega). \quad (31)$$

If the theory is invariant, i.e.,  $\delta\mathcal{L} = 0$ , we should consider

$$\delta\lambda = -\partial_0\delta\omega. \quad (32)$$

We have one arbitrary parameter, say  $\Lambda \equiv \delta\omega$ . Under the condition, the transformation on the fields  $A_\mu$ ,  $\theta$  takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_\mu &= \{A_\mu, G^{\text{can}}\} = -\partial_\mu\Lambda. \\ \delta\theta &= \{\theta, G^{\text{can}}\} = e\Lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

From this condition, the generator of the gauge transformation is

$$G^{\text{can}} = \int d^3y \left( -\mathcal{G}^\lambda \partial_0 + \mathcal{G}^\omega \right) \Lambda. \quad (34)$$

# Gauge Conditions and Generalized Brackets

- The existence of involutive constraints:  $\mathcal{G}^\lambda \equiv \phi^1$  and  $\mathcal{G}^\omega \equiv \phi^2$ , does not allow the dynamics to be uniquely determined.
- The gauge freedom is eliminated by introducing gauge conditions.

$$\phi^3 \equiv A_0 = 0 \quad , \quad \phi^4 \equiv \partial_k A_k + \frac{m^2}{e} \theta = 0. \quad (35)$$

The full set of HJPDES is:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^0 &\equiv p^t + \mathcal{H}_c = 0, \\ \phi^1 &\equiv \pi^0 = 0, \\ \phi^2 &\equiv \partial_k \pi^k + e p_\theta = 0, \\ \phi^3 &\equiv A_0 = 0, \\ \phi^4 &\equiv \partial_k A_k + \frac{m^2}{e} \theta = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$



The dynamics is given by the following fundamental differential:

$$\begin{aligned} dF(x) = & \int d^3y \left[ \{F(x), \phi^0(y)\} dt + \{F(x), \phi^1(y)\} d\omega_1(y) \right. \\ & + \{F(x), \phi^2(y)\} d\omega_2(y) + \{F(x), \phi^3(y)\} d\omega_3(y) \\ & \left. + \{F(x), \phi^4(y)\} d\omega_4(y) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

By introducing the gauge conditions the set of parameters  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4)$  becomes dependent on the parameter  $t$ , namely

$$d\omega_i(y) = - \iint d^2u d^2v \Phi_{ij}^{-1}(y, v) \{ \phi^j(v), \phi^0(u) \} dt. \quad (38)$$

Where the matrix  $\Phi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \equiv \{\phi^i(x), \phi^j(y)\}$  and its inverse given by

$$\Phi^{-1}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{D_x} \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{D_x} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta^3(x - y), \quad (39)$$

with  $D_x \equiv \nabla_x - m^2$ .

Thus, the fundamental differential can be written as

$$dF(x) = \int d^3y \left\{ F(x), \phi^0(y) \right\}^* dt. \quad (40)$$

The expression  $\{ , \}^*$  identifies what is called of Generalized Brackets (GB), which is defined by

$$\{F(x), G(y)\}^* \equiv \{F(x), G(y)\} - \int \int d^3u d^3v \{F(x), \phi^n(u)\} (\Phi^{-1})^{nl}(u, v) \{ \phi^l(v), G(y) \}. \quad (41)$$

The GB satisfy the following property

$$\left\{ F(x), \phi^i(y) \right\}^* = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (42)$$

The degrees of freedom of the system are  $(A_i, \pi^i)$  and their GB are

$$\left\{ A_i(x), \pi^k(y) \right\}^* = \left( \delta_i^k + \frac{\partial_i^x \partial_k^x}{D_x} \right) \delta^3(x-y). \quad (43)$$

## Conclusions

- We have calculated the complete set of HJPDES.
- We show that the HJPDES are involutive, which characterizes the system as a gauge theory.
- We calculate the condition for the canonical transformation generator to be a gauge transformation.
- Gauge conditions were introduced in order to eliminate the gauge freedom of the theory,
- The GC were calculated.